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Explore strategies to ensure individuals have access to and enroll in coverage for which they are eligible and that is most appropriate for their situation.

Discuss the potential impact on Medicaid and Marketplaces as unemployment increases.

Describe what states can do to enhance access to Medicaid and Marketplace coverage.

Highlight communications opportunities for states to support enrollment.
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- Coverage Impacts of the Pandemic and Economic Downturn
- State Considerations for Facilitating Coverage Transitions
- Communication Strategies and Working Across State Agency Partners
- Questions
Coverage Impacts of the Pandemic and Economic Downturn
Level-Setting: the Recession’s Impact on Coverage

The impact of unemployment is uncertain but expected to reduce ESI. Depending on how Congress responds, there are likely to be significant increases in Medicaid and Marketplace coverage, as well as more people uninsured.

Since mid-March, over 30 million Americans filed initial unemployment claims.

Forecasts suggest the unemployment rate could peak at 20% or more.

A major shift from ESI to Medicaid and Marketplace coverage could take place and result in more uninsured individuals.

Congress could change the expected outcome by subsidizing employers/ESI. Coordinated federal and state action will be critical in any scenario.

Source: The United States Department of Labor.
National Estimates of Changes in Health Insurance Coverage with 15%, 20%, and 25% Unemployment Rates, Base Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage type</th>
<th>3.5% (precrisis) Precrisis levels (# of people)</th>
<th>Unemployment rate scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15% Change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-sponsored insurance</td>
<td>160,282,000</td>
<td>-17,689,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>50,339,000</td>
<td>8,225,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketplace or other private insurance</td>
<td>24,538,000</td>
<td>4,348,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare other public insurance</td>
<td>7,474,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>28,415,000</td>
<td>5,116,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**US TOTALS**
# National Estimates of Changes in Health Insurance Coverage with 15%, 20%, and 25% Unemployment Rates, Base Scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coverage type</th>
<th>3.5% (precrisis) Precrisis levels (# of people)</th>
<th>Unemployment rate scenario</th>
<th>15% Change</th>
<th>20% Change</th>
<th>25% Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPANSION STATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-sponsored insurance</td>
<td>108,114,000</td>
<td>-11,606,000</td>
<td>-16,853,000</td>
<td>-21,699,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>35,737,000</td>
<td>6,191,000</td>
<td>8,887,000</td>
<td>11,583,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketplace or other private insurance</td>
<td>15,129,000</td>
<td>2,745,000</td>
<td>3,934,000</td>
<td>5,123,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare or other public insurance</td>
<td>4,599,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>14,246,000</td>
<td>2,670,000</td>
<td>3,832,000</td>
<td>4,993,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NONEXPANSION STATES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employer-sponsored insurance</td>
<td>52,169,000</td>
<td>-6,084,000</td>
<td>-8,711,000</td>
<td>-11,337,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicaid</td>
<td>14,602,000</td>
<td>2,034,000</td>
<td>2,911,000</td>
<td>3,788,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketplace or other private insurance</td>
<td>9,409,000</td>
<td>1,604,000</td>
<td>2,295,000</td>
<td>2,986,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare or other public insurance</td>
<td>2,876,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninsured</td>
<td>14,168,000</td>
<td>2,446,000</td>
<td>3,505,000</td>
<td>4,563,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Estimated Coverage Types of People Losing Employer-Sponsored Health Insurance

- **Overall:**
  - Medicaid: 46.5%
  - Marketplace or other private: 24.5%
  - Uninsured: 28.9%

- **Expansion States:**
  - Medicaid: 53.4%
  - Marketplace or other private: 23.6%
  - Uninsured: 23.0%

- **Nonexpansion States:**
  - Medicaid: 33.4%
  - Marketplace or other private: 26.3%
  - Uninsured: 40.2%
## Composition of National Changes in Coverage under 20% Unemployment Rate, by Age Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>ESI</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Medicaid</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Marketplace or other private</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Uninsured</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonelderly adults ages 19 to 64</td>
<td>-18,722,000</td>
<td>73.8%</td>
<td>6,801,000</td>
<td>57.6%</td>
<td>5,278,000</td>
<td>84.7%</td>
<td>6,643,000</td>
<td>90.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children from birth to age 18</td>
<td>-6,641,000</td>
<td>26.2%</td>
<td>4,997,000</td>
<td>42.4%</td>
<td>951,000</td>
<td>15.3%</td>
<td>693,000</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total change</strong></td>
<td>-25,363,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>11,798,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>6,229,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>7,336,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### COMPOSITION OF CHANGES IN BASE SCENARIO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Group</th>
<th>ESI</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Medicaid</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Marketplace or other private</th>
<th>Share</th>
<th>Uninsured</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nonelderly adults ages 19 to 64</td>
<td>-30,495,000</td>
<td>70.7%</td>
<td>11,078,000</td>
<td>53.8%</td>
<td>8,596,000</td>
<td>82.6%</td>
<td>10,821,000</td>
<td>89.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children from birth to age 18</td>
<td>-12,629,000</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>9,502,000</td>
<td>46.2%</td>
<td>1,809,000</td>
<td>17.4%</td>
<td>1,318,000</td>
<td>10.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total change</strong></td>
<td>-43,123,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>20,579,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>10,405,000</td>
<td></td>
<td>12,139,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Estimates of the Effect of the Unemployment Rate on ESI Coverage Rates

### Appendix Table 2. Estimates of the Effect of the Unemployment Rate on ESI Coverage Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data source/study</th>
<th>Data years</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Parameter estimate</th>
<th>Estimated number losing ESI under 20% unemployment rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Community Survey (this study)</td>
<td>2008–18</td>
<td>Individual-year regression</td>
<td>Adults (nonelderly)</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>-18,722,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>-0.52</td>
<td>-6,641,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All nonelderly</td>
<td></td>
<td>-25,363,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Children</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>-12,118,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>All nonelderly</td>
<td></td>
<td>-40,457,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Health Interview Survey (this study)</td>
<td>1998–2018</td>
<td>National time series regression</td>
<td>All nonelderly</td>
<td>-0.99</td>
<td>-43,123,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2008–18</td>
<td>National time series regression</td>
<td>All nonelderly</td>
<td>-0.74</td>
<td>-32,234,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007–18</td>
<td>National time series regression</td>
<td>All nonelderly</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-34,847,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2007–10</td>
<td>Change in ESI rate / change in unemployment rate</td>
<td>All nonelderly</td>
<td>-0.88</td>
<td>-38,332,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Congress Could Take Action to Change the Coverage Landscape

While the various stimulus bills have endeavored to preserve jobs, unemployment continues to surge. Congressional strategies could entail:

### Subsidizing the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act (COBRA) to Preserve ESI

- House Health and Economic Recovery Omnibus Emergency Solutions (HEROES) Act includes 100% COBRA subsidies
  - 65% COBRA subsidization had low take up in 2009.
- COBRA solution would not work for everyone (e.g., businesses that go out of business).

### Bolstering Medicaid and Marketplaces to Handle Increased Enrollment

- Medicaid – HEROES includes Federal Medical Assistance Percentages (FMAP) increases, temporary Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) funding increases, funding for COVID-19 treatment of the uninsured, and other federal assistance.
- Marketplaces – HEROES includes a special enrollment period (SEP), $25 M in outreach funding and requirements for COVID-19 treatment; other options: enhanced subsidies below 400% of the federal poverty level (FPL) and extending above 400% FPL, reconciliation relief, reinsurance, and risk corridors.
Medicaid: Current Landscape

In The Short-Term...

- Surge in Medicaid enrollment due to the Families First Coronavirus Response Act continuous coverage requirements and streamlined enrollment processes.
- Increased focus on supporting Medicaid providers – of the $175 B in provider funds, little has gone to providers who primarily serve lower-income populations.

In The Longer-Term...

- Increase in Medicaid enrollment and looming demand for services (e.g., testing, pent up demand).
- State budget crisis related to recession.
- Provider network fragility.
- Need to address health inequities exacerbated by COVID-19.
### Medicaid and Marketplace Interactions: Addressing Coverage Gaps

Given the gravity of the economic downturn, it is critical – now more than ever – that states take action to address gaps in coverage. To ensure individuals get access to comprehensive care, states can consider the following strategies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expand Medicaid.</th>
<th>Increase Outreach and Education About Enrollment in Coverage Throughout the Year.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tighten Oversight of Non-ACA Compliant Products</td>
<td>Tailor Messages to Different Audiences to Address Health Inequities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: SHVS and Manatt Health, Medicaid and Marketplace Interactions.
States Have More Levers to Strengthen the ACA Than to Preserve ESI

State Strategies To Protect ESI

- If Congress subsidizes COBRA, states can help extend subsidies to smaller employers through any needed changes to mini-COBRA laws.
- Without Congress, states can protect ESI by extending grace periods, but this is likely to be only a short-term solution.

States Strategies To Enhance ACA Coverage

- Expand consumer outreach and ensure coordination between state programs, especially unemployment insurance, and ACA coverage (Medicaid and Marketplace).
- State actions would be more effective if the federal government was an active partner in bolstering Medicaid financing and Marketplace subsidies.
Marketplace: Current Landscape

State-based Marketplaces (SBMs) have been more active than Healthcare.gov in addressing coverage gaps caused by COVID-19.

- 12 of 13 SBMs opened SEPs for the uninsured and expanded outreach efforts to the broader group of consumers eligible for increased subsidies or a SEP because of coverage loss.
- Healthcare.gov did not open a SEP for the uninsured or ramp up outreach efforts; the Administration has relied on other federal programs to address COVID-19 coverage issues.

The 2021 open enrollment period (OEP) is rapidly approaching in a fluid rating environment.

- Insurers saving on short-term claims costs because of deferred care.
- COVID-19 costs and pent-up demand likely to increase insurer costs, but net impact on rates is uncertain.
- Enrollment could increase substantially depending on what happens with ESI, with potential risk pool improvement.
- States regulate rates in 47 states and are likely to delay final decisions to August/September.

Marketplaces face complex environment between now and the November OEP.

- SEPs will remain in play depending on coverage losses and SBM extensions of SEPs for the uninsured.
- Eligibility and enrollment is increasingly complex with overlapping SEPs and fluctuating incomes affecting Medicaid and Marketplace eligibility.
State Considerations for Facilitating Coverage Transitions
Key Coverage Transitions

- Employer coverage
- Marketplace
  - With subsidies
  - Without subsidies
- Off-Marketplace
- Uninsured

- Medicaid/Children's Health Insurance Program (CHIP)
- Marketplace with subsidies – advance premium tax credit (APTC) and sometimes cost-sharing reductions (CSRs)
- COBRA
Current Policy Landscape

The Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) ACT

- Provided stimulus payment ($1,200 per adult, $500 per dependent); it is excluded from income for both Medicaid and APTC.
- Provided unemployment insurance (UI) supplement ($600/week through July 31); it is included for APTC but not Medicaid.
- Increases UI scope and duration.

Families First Coronavirus Response Act

- Requires continuous eligibility under Medicaid in order for states to access enhanced FMAP.
Medicaid/CHIP

Provides key advantages, especially right now:

- Enrollment always open.
- Little or no premium and cost-sharing.
- Currently far more generous than APTC at similar incomes due to UI supplement rule and ignoring early-year income.
- Families First Coronavirus Response Act continuous coverage requirement avoids risks of churn and APTC repayment.

*But note state fiscal cost, only partially mitigated by FMAP increase.*
Marketplace Coverage

Often most affordable option for those not eligible for Medicaid.

- Requires enrollment during OEP or SEP (see next slide).
- APTC is based on expected annual income:
  - Includes early-year income; UI supplement through July 31.
  - Elevated claw-back risk given prediction challenges.
- CARES Act UI expansions and early-year income may shift unemployed individuals in non-expansion states from coverage gap to APTC range.
- Many Marketplaces are applying more flexible verification procedures given COVID-19 emergency, limited staffing to process influx, challenges of acquiring documentation.
## SEP and APTC/CSR Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Switching From</th>
<th>Key Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Terminated Employer Coverage          | - Loss-of-coverage SEP applies.  
                                         - Eligible for APTC/CSR despite COBRA offer.                                                                                                                                                             |
| Marketplace                           | - Limited SEPs for APTC/CSR eligibility change.  
                                         - Can add/update APTC/CSR without SEP.                                                                                                                                                          |
| Off-Marketplace Individual Market     | - Loss-of-coverage SEP generally does *not* apply.  
                                         - COVID-specific SEP (certain SBMs).  
                                         - Income-change SEP (certain SBMs).  
                                         - Consider other SEPs like birth, marriage, residence change, leaving coverage gap, and Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) extension.                                |
| Uninsured                             | - COVID-specific SEP (certain SBMs).  
                                         - Consider other SEPs like birth, marriage, residence change, leaving coverage gap, and FEMA extension.                                                                                         |

*SBMs have authority to designate additional SEPs.*
COBRA

May be best option for those ineligible for Medicaid and APTC.

- Provides continuity of coverage; avoids deductible reset, loss of providers.

- Generally unsubsidized, less affordable than other options.

- Consumers who enroll and then cannot pay premiums are ineligible for loss-of-coverage SEP.
Grace Periods

May be useful stop-gap, but not a long-term solution.

- Many states are requiring or encouraging, but few requiring claims to be paid.
- Doesn’t address ongoing cost to employers, consumers, issuers, and providers.
- ESI grace period generally prevents APTC eligibility.
- For APTC recipients, CMS guidance allows – and states may require – issuers to delay onset of 90-day ACA grace period while continuing to receive APTC.
Other Coverage Options

- Non-ACA-compliant coverage (short-term, fixed indemnity, etc.).
  - Often exclude COVID-19 services and/or come with problematic coverage limits.
  - Currently subject of heavy and misleading marketing, which is especially problematic given difficulty of discerning from ACA plans.

- Unsubsidized individual market coverage.
  - Often unaffordable but may be only option for comprehensive coverage.
  - SEP rules apply.
Potential State Actions to Facilitate Coverage Transitions

- Update coverage applications and other materials to:
  - Reflect CARES Act rules.
  - Clearly address monthly vs. annual income.
  - Help APTC applicants accurately project annual income.
- Educate consumers and enrollment assisters about current rules and options.
- Encourage state UI agencies to clearly itemize payments and note special rule.
  - Use consistent terminology to increase clarity.
- Consider encouraging consumers who are likely Medicaid-eligible to enroll through Medicaid agency to minimize confusion and delay.
- Establish SEPs for COVID, income change, or job loss (SBMs only).
- Provide flexibility in SEP and APTC eligibility verification (SBMs only).
- Be cautious about extended ESI grace periods, especially if claims are pended and providers may deny services.
- Consider delaying onset of ACA grace period for APTC recipients.
Communication Strategies and Working with State Agency Partners
Communicating with New and Existing Customers

Raise brand awareness and availability of coverage.

Educate current customers and drive to best plan.

Message as broadly as possible.
  – Low-cost and free coverage is available.
Adapting Marketing Efforts

- Partnership Promotion
- Agency Coordination
- Navigator Education/Outreach
- Consumer Resources
- Paid Advertising
- Earned Media
- Social Media

Integrating Effort
Partnering with State Agencies to Spread the Word

Look for and leverage consumer touchpoints across agencies:

- Medicaid/Marketplace.
- Department of Labor.
- Department of Health.
- Governor’s office.
Educating and Equipping Your Enrollment Network

- Establish frequent communications with navigators/assistors.
  - Sharing out and collecting feedback.
- Create toolkits and social press kits.
- Develop and translate fact sheets, frequently asked questions (FAQs), etc.
Conducting Outreach in a Virtual Environment

- Bring in-person outreach online.
- Engage and raise awareness through social media.
- Experiment with virtual enrollment events.
Questions

The slides and a recording of the webinar will be available at www.shvs.org after the webinar
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Resources

- COVID-19 Resources for States – SHVS
- CARES Act Unemployment Insurance Expansion and Stimulus Payments – Considerations for States – SHVS Expert Perspective
- Grace Periods: A Good Start But Not Sufficient – SHVS Expert Perspective
- SEP Reference Chart – Center on Budget and Policy Priorities/ Health Reform Beyond the Basics